

## UNITED STATE JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF/PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE

08/851,040

05/05/97

VISSER

В

17342-000500

PM31/1230

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER STH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

**EXAMINER** 

KANG, T

O

**ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

3635

DATE MAILED: 12/30/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application No.

....

08/851,040

Appla t(s)

Visser

Advisory Action Examiner

Timothy Kang

Group Art Unit 3635



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) appires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) Sexpires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisor is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from rejection.	ory Action, whichever the date of the final
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CF calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	or the purposes of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a	(or within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 27, 1998</u> has been considered with the found is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ollowing effect,
X The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
X will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below)	ow).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing issues for appeal.	
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected	
NOTE: <a and="" directly="" each="" entrances="" from<="" having="" href="mailto:the-amended claims add limitations which have not been previously considered, such as separate stores," leading="" outside="" separate="" store="" td=""><td></td></a>	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	if submitted in a
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>□ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the approximately considered.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>□ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the approximately considered.</li> </ul>	oplication in condition
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the after allowance because:</li> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the separate of the proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	pplication in condition
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the Examiner in the final rejection.  To purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if	were newly raised by
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if Claims allowed:	were newly raised by
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which with the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if Claims allowed:	were newly raised by
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-4, 6-16, and 18-36  The proposed drawing correction filed on has	were newly raised by any):
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the alternation allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-4, 6-16, and 18-36	were newly raised by any):
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	were newly raised by any):  If by the Examiner.
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	were newly raised by any):